

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. 3498 | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/826,990 | 04/19/2004 | Bryan M. Buchi | 3053.2.1 | | |
| 7590 12/20/2006 Starkweather & Associates | | EXAMINER | | | |
| 9035 S 1300 E | | | DEVOTI, PAUL D | | |
| Suite 200 Sandy, UT 84094 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3637 | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| | | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/20/2006 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/826,990 | BUCHI, BRYAN M. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Paul Devoti | 3637 | | |

| | raui Devoli | 3037 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | te extension fee late extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I | out prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | • | TE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5-11, 21-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | , |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe vand was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | | | |
| 13. Other: | Lamama | | |
| 12/15/06 | Lame Y | | |
| 12.115/06 | LANNA MAI | | |
| (9) 1 | SUPERVISORY PATENT EX | | |
| | TECHNOLOGY CENTER | 3600 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added language in claims 1, 7, 21, 22 and new claim 26 would require further consideration and search.